

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/601,462 | ISHII ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ephrem Alemu | 2821 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ephrem Alemu.

(3) _____.

(2) Clark A. Jablon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim Objection

Claims discussed:

1, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Permission to make changes is shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ephrem A.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)